



NASA Kennedy Space Center

The Electrostatic Environment of Mars: Atmospheric Discharges

Carlos I. Calle, Ph.D.

NASA Kennedy Space Center

Team:

Paul J. Mackey

Michael R. Johansen


Michael D. Hogue, Ph.D.

James Phillips III

Rachel E. Cox

Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Maryland


Minta Martin Seminar, November 3, 2016



Atmospheric Dust

NASA Kennedy Space Center


- Estimates from optical data:
Average dust particle in the Martian atmosphere: $1.5\ \mu\text{m}$ in diameter
- Average particle size changes with dust storm activity:
 - 2001: Derived particle data ranged from 2 to $5\ \mu\text{m}$
- Data from MI on Spirit & Opportunity (Landis et al 2006)
 - Suspended atmospheric dust: $2\text{-}4\ \mu\text{m}$
 - Settled dust uploaded by wind, diameter: $\leq 10\ \mu\text{m}$
 - Saltating particles: $\leq 80\ \mu\text{m}$
- Particle in soil (MI on Spirit on Scamander crater) $\sim 220\ \mu\text{m}$





Martian Dust Storm


NASA Kennedy Space Center






Dust Devils

NASA Kennedy Space Center



Martian dust devil (left) and dust devil tracks (below) photographed from orbit





NASA Kennedy Space Center






Opacity of the Atmosphere

NASA Kennedy Space Center

- Dust density in the Martian atmosphere has never been measured directly, they can be obtained from measurements of the opacity of the atmosphere that have been taken from landers.
- Opacity is measured in terms of the *optical depth* τ , which is a measure of the transmission of radiation through the atmosphere.
- τ is given by the logarithm of the ratio of transmitted to incident radiant power through the atmosphere.
- Typical values during non-dust storm conditions range from 0.2 to 1.
- During local dust storm conditions → from 1 to 6.
- Figure shows optical depths measured by the Mars Exploration Rovers (MER) Spirit and Opportunity during 5 years of their mission



[*] Lemmon MT *et al* 2014 Dust aerosol clouds and the atmospheric optical depth record over 5 Mars years of the Mars Exploration Rover mission *Icarus* 251 96-111



Dust Content of the Atmosphere

NASA Kennedy Space Center

- Using the MER optical depth data, we can calculate the expected atmospheric dust particle density for different conditions. The particle density as a function of height z can be approximated from

$$N \sim N_0 \tau e^{-\frac{z}{H}}$$

- where N_0 is the number density at the surface for an optical depth of 1 and H is the scale height, which has an average value of 11.1 km.
- For relatively clear atmospheric conditions, with the optical depth τ from 0.2 to 1, the average number of dust particles in the atmosphere near the ground ($z = 0$) ranges from about 5 to 24 particles/cm³.
- For dust storm conditions, using $\tau = 6$, the expected particle density is about 140 particles/cm³
- Typical terrestrial indoors environment (similar to a class 100,000 clean room) → 100,000 particles of 0.5 μm and larger in diameter per ft³ of air = 3.5 particles/cm³
- Low end of the range of the atmospheric particle density during non-dust storm conditions on Mars.
- However, the Martian atmosphere has a density of 0.020 kg/m³ near the surface, which is about 1.6% of the density of the terrestrial atmosphere near the surface. If we were to pump Martian atmospheric gas into a chamber and increase its density to match that of the Earth's atmosphere, the particle concentration would increase from an average of about 11 (taking the middle of the range for calm conditions) to about 670 particles/cm³



Expected Electrical Environment

Kennedy Space Center

NASA Kennedy Space Center

- Tribocharging of particles expected to generate E-fields up to Paschen breakdown ~ 20 kV/m
- Terrestrial dust devils $\sim >120$ kV/m (Jackson & Ferrell, 2006)
- 1973: Eden and Vonnegut performed lab experiments with sand in Martian-like atmosphere:
 - Dust particle $q \sim 10^4 e^-$
 - Observed glow and filamentary discharges
- Recently, we observed glow discharges with Mars simulant
 - Showed alteration of known organics added to Mars simulant under simulated conditions
- 2001-2006: Fabian et al and Kraus et al: charging due to dust vertical motion; electrical discharges in atmosphere
- In dusty, turbulent Martian environment:
 - $E \sim 5$ kV/m



Electrical Discharges on Mars?

NASA Kennedy Space Center

- Theoretical studies, laboratory, and terrestrial field experiments → atmospheric electrical activity on Mars (lightning or corona discharges) should be abundant
- However, years of direct observation from orbit and ground, including the recent MAVEN mission dedicated to the study of the Martian atmosphere, show no clear evidence of atmospheric electrical discharges.
- Triboelectric charging of dust grains during terrestrial dust storms or dust devils produces positive and negative charged grains
- On Mars, convective instabilities in the atmosphere should stratify similarly produced charged dust grains → lighter grains lifted to higher altitudes than more massive grains
- Since smaller particles charge negatively and larger particles charge positively, a macroscopic dipole moment is formed in the atmosphere that can produce an electrical discharges
- Fabian, Krauss and their collaborators demonstrated experimentally in a simulated Martian atmosphere that this type of dust vertical motion can generate electric fields strong enough for electrical discharges to occur [*].

*Fabian A Krauss C Sickafoose A Horanyi M and Robertson S 2001
Measurements of electrical discharges in Martian regolith simulant *IEEE Trans Plasma Sci* **29** 288–291



NASA Kennedy Space Center

- Numerical models of dust electrification during Martian dust storms and dust devils predict that electric fields should have strengths up to the breakdown potential of carbon dioxide at the low atmospheric pressure of Mars
- Combined with experimental values of electron density in the Martian atmosphere, these models yield values of the electrical conductivity of the atmosphere that are several orders of magnitude higher than the values for the terrestrial atmosphere.
- Thus, charge dissipation in the Martian atmosphere would happen in seconds rather than minutes, as is the case for Earth
- Discharge mechanism, however, remains unknown. Whether it takes place violently (lightning) or gently (corona glow) is not known. No direct measurements have ever been made.
- However, there is experimental evidence for glow discharge in laboratory experiments → Eden and Vonnegut placed sand particles in a container with carbon dioxide at pressures in the range of the Martian atmospheric pressure and observed a glow as well as filamentary electrical discharges when the container was shaken.
- Our NASA laboratory conducted similar experiments where we were able to observe a visible glow and show that these discharges altered several organics known to exist on Mars.
- In contrast, a recent charging model → electric fields cannot reach levels up to breakdown because of charge dissipation in the saltation layer

- Farrell W M *et al* 2003 A simple electrodynamic model of a dust devil *Geophysical Research Letters* **30** 250
- Zhai Y, *et al* 2006 Quasielectrostatic field analysis and simulation of Martian and terrestrial dust devils *J. Geophys. Res. Lett.* **35** 16
- Eden H F and Vonnegut B 1973 Electrical breakdown caused by dust motion in low-pressure atmospheres: Considerations for Mars *Science* **180** 962
- Hintze P E *et al* 2010 Alteration of five organic compounds by glow discharge plasma and UV light under simulated Mars conditions *Icarus* **208** 749-757



- Searches for evidence of electrostatic discharges in the Martian atmosphere have been made with instrumentation aboard orbiting spacecraft.
 - In 2009, Ruf and collaborators claimed that they had detected non-thermal electromagnetic emissions during a dust storm.
 - Analyses of the modes of these emissions were interpreted to be Schumann Resonances. Some researchers attribute the presence of these resonances to lightning discharges.
 - However, subsequent observations in the same electromagnetic region found no evidence of Schumann Resonances during a period that included dust storms.
 - Detailed studies of over 5 years of observations by the Mars Advanced Radar for Subsurface and Ionosphere Sounding (MARSIS) yielded no evidence of high frequency radio emissions that would indicate the presence of electrical discharges.
 - Moreover, the connection between Schumann Resonances and lightning has not been established yet, with only one research effort indicating it as a possibility
-
- Anderson M M *et al* 2012 The Allen Telescope Array search for electromagnetic discharges on Mars *Astrophys. J.* **744** 15
 - Ondarkova A *et al* 2008 Peculiar transient events in the Schumann Resonance band and their possible explanation *J. Atmos. Sol-Terr. Phys.* **70** 937-946



- A key outstanding question related to the presence of lightning and glow discharges in the Martian atmosphere is the rate of charge dissipation in the more conductive Martian atmosphere.
- Some terrestrial examples of particle charging in volcanic ash clouds have shown that they remain electrified long after charge should have dissipated into the atmosphere.
- A similar phenomenon could happen on Mars that may influence electrical activity. Ions and electrons present in the atmosphere may also be a factor in limiting the strength of the electric fields and the conductivity of the atmosphere
- To shed light on this phenomenon, we are conducting experiments in a partially simulated Martian environment to tribocharge simulant dust particles in sizes that are representative of those in Martian dust storms and dust devils.
- Charging rates, charge polarity distribution, and charge decay rates will be measured. These experiments have never been performed under simulated Martian conditions.
- The proposed experiments should allow us to examine this possibility, providing new data that may help improve models for discharge events on Mars

- Delory G T 2012 Problems and new directions for electrostatics research in the context of space and planetary science *Proc. 2012 Joint Electrostatics Conference*
- Harrison R G *et al* 2010 Self-charging of the Eyjafjalloskull volcanic ash plume *Environ. Res. Lett.* **5** 024004
- Jackson T L *et al* 2010 Martian dust devil electron avalanche process and associated electrochemistry *J. Geophys. Res.* **115** E5



Electrostatic Precipitator

NASA Kennedy Space Center

- Electrostatic Precipitator: two electrodes at a potential difference
- Townsend Breakdown: electron avalanches
- Weak E field: particles recombine
- Strong E field: avalanche region expands --> breakdown (Paschen)




Electrical Discharges on Mars?

NASA Kennedy Space Center



Stable positive corona at 2.2 kV and 150 μ A on 0.64-cm diameter rod inside 9.6-cm diameter cylinder in 95% CO₂/ 5% humid air at 9 mbar taken using a 50 mm lens at F16 with 20 s exposure.



Same geometry just after transition from 200 μ A positive corona to an unstable streamer discharge (F8, 10 s). Two stationary pink streamers are visible below the rod, as well as the recorded *dancing* motion of a dynamic blue streamer from the rod to the inner cylinder.




Electrical Breakdown on Mars

Kennedy Space Center

NASA Kennedy Space Center

CO₂ and Mars Gas Breakdown Voltage vs p·d



Paschen breakdown potentials versus pressure-distance for a Martian gas mixture (red squares) and for CO₂ (blue triangles)

This breakdown limits potentials required for an Electrostatic Precipitator

At 5 mbar in constant E field:

725 V for 5 mm gap

895 for 10 mm

2.8 kV for 5 cm

3.2 kV for 10 cm




- Dust particle charging depends on pressure
- Two types: Field (Pauthenier) and Diffusion Charging
- Field: ions accelerated in field attach to particles (depends on particle diameter)
 - Saturation Charge: $Q_s = 12(k/k+2)\pi\epsilon_0 E a^2$
- Diffusion Charging: thermal ion motion

$$q(t) = \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0 kT}{e} \ln \left(\frac{a N_0 e^2 c_i t}{4\epsilon_0 kT} + 1 \right)$$

Where c is the mean ion velocity = 362 m/s



Kennedy Space Center
NASA Kennedy Sp



Continuum regime field (Pauthenier) saturation charge (dotted line) and diffusion charge (red line) for particles in CO_2 at 9 mbars with $E = 0.23$ kV/cm and an exposure time of 10 s

- Field charging contributes more to 4-10 micrometer diameter particles
- Both mechanisms contribute to 2-4 micrometer particles normally in atmosphere




Kennedy Space Center


NASA Kennedy Space Center

Table 1. Corona Charging Experiments
in 5 mBar CO₂.

Outer Cylinder Inner Diameter (cm)	Inner rod/wire (cm)	Ball Diameter (cm)
5.26	70×10^{-4}	0.95
5.26	100×10^{-4}	0.95
7.0	70×10^{-4}	0.95
7.0	100×10^{-4}	0.47, 0.95, 1.27
7.0	0.3	0 95
9.6	70×10^{-4}	0 95
9.6	100×10^{-4}	0 95



Experimental values of the charge on 0.47, 0.95 and 1.27 cm diameter brass sphere vs E





Experimental charge vs. sphere diameter for E fields of 0.11 and 0.24 kV/cm. Data taken at 5 mbar in CO_2



Kennedy Space Center

NASA Kennedy Space Center




I-*V* curves for one configuration of the precipitator. Data taken at 5 mbar in pure CO₂ and in a 95% CO₂-5% air mixture, show that there is little difference in the *I*-*V* characteristics between the two environments at this pressure.

I-*V* curves for seven configurations of the precipitator. Data taken with clean electrodes and positive polarity at 5 mbar in CO₂.



Kennedy Space Center
NASA Kennedy

Particle Size Distribution



- Particle size distribution of JSC Mars-1 simulant dust particles introduced into the chamber with short puffs of CO_2 gas and aerosolized before falling through the precipitator with the field off
- Three, five, and ten puffs, each carrying about 2 mg of simulant dust, were supplied
- Dust was collected on silicon wafers 7 cm in diameter
- Four runs were performed with the 7.0 cm-0.3 cm rod outer-inner electrode configuration and one with the 7.0 cm-100 μm configuration



Kennedy Space Center

NASA Kennedy Space Center



Microscope images at 100x of JSC Mars-1 dust simulant particles aerosolized in the vacuum chamber and sent through the precipitator with the field off (left) and with the field on (right). The largest particles seen on the image with the field on are outside the range of particles expected in the Martian atmosphere.



Kennedy Space Center

NASA Kennedy Space Center



(Left): Clean color calibration target on Mars Exploration Rover Spirit. The target's mirror and the shadows cast on it by the Sun help scientists determine the degree to which dusty Martian skies alter the panoramic camera's perception of color. **(Center):** Calibration target on the missions' twin rover Opportunity after 23 Martian days (sol). **Right):** Target after 346 sols.




To calculate precipitator efficiency:

- Ten CO₂ puffs carrying 5 g each of <10 μm vacuum oven-dried simulant
- Unprecipitated simulant was collected with Whatman 542 filter paper
- Precipitated dust was picked up with 2 sheets of filter paper
- These two sets, plus a control, were burned in crucibles at 900 °C
- Efficiency = 99%



Precipitator in a Flow-Through

Kennedy Space Center
NASA Kennedy



- A prototype precipitator with a controlled CO₂ flow of 9.4 LPM at 9 mbars was designed and constructed.
- Particle counters provide particle counts before and after precipitation.
- Design is a 1/10 scale intended for possible demonstration on the NASA Mars 2020 mission.
- A full scale unit, with a flow of 88 g/h or 0.74 SLPM, corresponding to 94 LPM at 8 mbar, will be proposed for NASA's Mars Sample Return Mission in 2024.




I-V Curves

Kennedy Space Center

NASA Kennedy Space Center

- Current-Voltage (I - V) curves at 9 mbars in air and in CO_2 were obtained.
- Voltage started at 100 V and increased by 50 V until the corona current reached 500 μA (higher than the 250 μA in previous design due to longer tube).
- We performed one single particle collection experiment with aerosolized 4 μm diameter Martian simulant particles.
- Obtained significant counts upstream with essentially no counts downstream
- Laboratory move did not allow us to perform additional experiments
- Current proposal for Mars 2020 mission, if approved, will allow us to resume experiments.



Current-voltage curves for the precipitator in a flow through configuration under 9 mbar no flow conditions